

FOR DISCUSSION

Count	Aquifer Exemption (Approved and Still Under Process)		Exemption Criteria	Status as of Friday, January 24, 2020	Comments
1	Round Mountain	25		Approved by EPA	
2	Fruitvale	25		Approved by EPA	
3	Tejon	25		Approved by EPA	
4	Mount Poso	25		Approved by EPA	
5	Jasmin	25		Approved by EPA	
6	Kern Front (Vedder)	25		Approved by EPA	
7	Elk Hills@Phase 1	25		Approved by EPA	
8	Elk Hills @Phase 2	25		Approved by EPA	
9	Poso Creek	25		Approved by EPA	
10	Kern Front (Chanac)	25		Approved by EPA	
11	Cymric	25		Approved by EPA	
12	McKittrick	25		Approved by EPA	
13	San Ardo/McCool Ranch	25		Approved by EPA	
14	Arroyo Grande	24		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 4/30/2019.
15	Edison Phase 1	20		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/15/2019.
16	Lost Hills 1	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/21/2019.
17	North Belridge	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 6/7/2019.
18	Midway-Sunset (Deeper Formations)	20	(a), (c)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/31/2019.
19	Edison - Phase 2	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 7/1/2019.
20	South Belridge - Western Area	18	(a), (b)(1)	Submitted to EPA - DOGGR responding to EPA questions	Responses to technical questions from EPA completed. Transmittal letter of submission being drafted. 5/13/2019: Submitted hardcopy and digital on May 7th. 6/10/2019: Inland district has not received response from EPA regarding questions or comments. 6/10 EPA will send first round of questions this week. 6/12/2019: (MS) DOGGR recieves 1st round of questions from EPA. 6/18/2019: (MS) DOGGR working on response to EPA, collaborating w/ EPA to clarify questions. 6/19/2019: (MS) Submit answers to Questions/Comments round1 in form of a draft Ken letter, image files and shapfiles. 6/28/2019: (MD w/ EPA) Round 2 Questions from EPA recieved by DOGGR. 7/2/2019: (MS) Respond to EPA Round 2 Questions in form of Draft Ken Letter. 7/17/2019: (MS) DOGGR received a draft ROD table for review from EPA. DOGGR will review and respond. DOGGR sent an updated draft response letter to them today which addressed a water well question. 7/22/2019: (MS) Sinle value for TDS on ROD table under consideration. 7/30/2019: (MS) Request Jason M. signature on Resonse letter to EPA. 8/7/2019: (MS) Jason M. signature on Resonse letter to EPA - pending. 10/29/2019: (MS) DOGGR Legal Dept requests all assoc. attachments for "Initial Response to EPA Questions" letter; Complete document under review by DOGGR Legal.
21	Lynch Canyon - Lanigan	18	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Final Concurrence Letter. District finalizing AE document for submission.	09/04/19 Final Transmittal letter at DOGGR HQ for signing. 10/29/19 Uploaded entire package for Agency review to Box.com
22	Sespe	18	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Final Concurrence Letter. District finalizing AE document for submission.	Coastal waiting for GIS documentation from operator (Carbon California), expected on mid-April 2019. District received updated Vertices Map and is reviewing. 5/13/2019: Will contact GIS for new shapefile before sending out next week. 7/7/2019 Waiting for review by HQ DOGGR. 07/18/19 Final Transmittal letter from the State to USEPA ready for signature. 08/01/19 Final Transmittal letter at DOGGR HQ for signing. 10/29/19 Uploaded entire package for Agency review to Box.com
23	Cat Canyon	7	(a), (b)(1)	On Public Comment	5/13/2019: Preparing documentation to be posted to our website. District is working on handouts (FAQs) for hearing. 6/5/2019 Held hearing 7/7/2019 Working on responses in Legal and ORLA units. Approximately 100 unique comments (received 100s of form letters). District has not received any specific requests to comment from HQ. 01/21/20 District is working with the 3rd party contractor to complete the technical comment answers. 02/06/20 All comments have been answered and reviewed by the District, Legal, and SWB. Awaiting upper management direction.
24	Oxnard	5	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Preliminary Concurrence Letter	An integrated AE package (with WB's questions and responses) is being finalized with operator. To be sent to EPA for informal review. 5/13/2019: Still with the operator, has been occupied with other priorities. 7/7/2019 Prepared responses to EPA preliminary comments. Updating tables and figures. Also waiting on operator to review changes and approve edits. 07/18/19 Application ready for SWB review prior to submitting to USEPA for an informal preliminary review. 08/20/19 Application submitted to SWB for review. 10/10/19 DOGGR received USEPA's Preliminary Review and is currently working on answers and updates. SWB will have an opportunity to review and respond prior to going to public comment. 01/21/20 District is working on completing public comment period documents and setting up a public comment hearing. 02/06/20 District is working on completing an enhanced water well survey.
25	Holser	5	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Preliminary Concurrence Letter	AE package sent to WB for review 4/3/19. District has updated the package into one document, ready to send to US EPA for an informal review. 5/13/2019: Shared informal with EPA on Friday 5/10 7/8/2019 Working on responses to EPA comments. Also change in groundwater basin map may require update to that figure. 07/18/19 Operator has updated the figures and is now completing table data cleanup and responses to USEPA comments. 10/17/19 DOGGR shared the complete updated package with SWB. 10/28/19 PG stamped updated AE package shared with SWB. 01/21/20 District is working on completing public comment period documents and setting up a public comment hearing.

26	Lompoc	4	(a), (b)(1)	District finalizing AE document after WB review and comments	<p>District has received material and is working with operator to complete. Should received the rest of the data week of 5/6/2019.</p> <p>5/13/2019: Meeting has been set up with Operator.</p> <p>7/8/2019 Operator has plugged back wells. Working on application data.</p> <p>10/17/19 Operator has a PG completing their review and the package will be reviewed at the District prior to sharing with SWB.</p> <p>11/04/19 Coastal District received the PG stamped updated Lompoc AE package and is currently reviewing it.</p> <p>11/04/19 Coastal District received the PG stamped updated Lompoc AE package and is currently reviewing it.</p> <p>11/13/19 Coastal District shared the updated PG Stamped AE package with SWB for review</p>
27	Coalinga/Jacalitos	14	(a), (b)(1)	DOGGR - Define response that requires Stamp validation.	<p>4/29/2019: (JB/SWB) Inland received SWB comments/questions (#2) that still remain regarding Table 5.1.1. Also unclear if a ground survey was conducted for water wells not associated with oil field water wells (as listed in Appendix 5-I) and, if not, how some of the information was determined without a ground survey.</p> <p>6/04/2019: (JB w/SWB) SWB has reviewed and agrees with the updated documents (Table 5.1-1, Adendum text and response to comments). There is still data missing from the water well survey. DOGGR will look at adding language in the application that "an effort was made to collect information" referencing ground survey.</p> <p>6/15/2019: (JB w/SWB) Confirms DOGGR has adequately ammended the Addendum by updating narrative to explan efforts made to identify the missing information and those efforts included database searches and aerial image review.</p> <p>6/15/2019: (MVG) SWB will now prepare a Final Concurrence Letter.</p> <p>9/16/2019: (WB-JZ) WB Final Concurrence Letter approvals in process, WB review internally moving forward.</p> <p>10/2/2019 (MVG) WB requests stamp. Matt evaluating technical review.</p> <p>11/01/2019: (MVG) Discuss/define w/ SWB, DOGGR responses assoc. w/ letter #2 dated February 2, 2017, that require validation (Stamp).</p> <p>11/20/2019: (MVG): DOGGR review under process prior to validation(Stamp) and submitting to WB.</p> <p>1/8/2020:(MVG):CalGEM forwarded stamped memos ,cross sections and original documents to WB for review.</p>
28	Midway-Sunset II (Tulare)	4	(a), (b)(1)	SWB define/discuss issues with Tulare B & L. Tulare at Operator meeting.	<p>Ongoing discussions to finalize exemption criteria [(b)(1) or (c)]. Target Preliminary Concurrence from WB on June 2019.</p> <p>5/13/2019: Meeting scheduled with WZI for 5/14/2019.</p> <p>5/31/2019: (AVZ) Inland responds to qestions/comments submitted to DOGGR from SWB.</p> <p>6/10/2019: WB reviewing the comments.</p> <p>9/16/2019: (WB-JZ) Arranging meeting w/ DOGGR to discuss AE boundary related to (b)(1) condition.</p> <p>10/30/2019: (SWB) Held meeting to define/discuss issues with Tulare B & Lower Tulare that need to be addressed for Preliminary Concurrence to be granted.</p> <p>11/20/2019:(AVZ) WB responded as they are not in a concurrence with current boundary because the presence of commercial hydrocarbon. WB will provide a shape file for the new boundary.</p> <p>1/20/2020:(AVZ)Operators met with CalGEM to discuss proposed (lower and upper)Tulare boundary.</p> <p>02/5/2020: (AVZ) CalGEM updated WB on discussions they had with the Operators.</p>
29	Kern River	1	(a), (b)(1)	DOGGR recieves draft KR Preliminary Concurrence. Operator updating application.	<p>Revised capture radius (make use of updated water demand data on some water wells) memo sent to WB 3/25/19.</p> <p>4/04/2019: (XX) Water Board received and reviewing Kern River AE Water Well Capture Radius Analysis.</p> <p>5/13/2019: WB still 1-2 weeks out from draft letter</p> <p>5/29/2019: (MVG) Inland send Memo to SWB regarding Supplemental maps to March 22, 2019 Water Well Capture Analysis memo.</p> <p>6/10 WB is working through draft concurrence letter still working towards June 2019.</p> <p>6/12/2019: (EG w/SWB Legal) DOGGR recieves draft KR Preliminary Concurrence. Under review by DOGGR legal.</p> <p>7/22/2019: (DOGGR Legal) State Water Board is concurring with the proposed exempted area below the C-Silt. The updated draft is a partial concurrence.</p> <p>8/28/2019: (MVG) Chevron has completed revision of App.to only extend up to the C-Silt and not above.</p> <p>9/8/2019: (MVG) Organizing a review of revised App. w CVX/WB/DOGGR.</p> <p>9/17/2019: (MVG) DOGGR/CVX/WB met to discuss aspects of revised app. Will make changes as required for WB Review.</p> <p>10/16/2019: (MVG) DOGGR sends to WB app. docs & app. wording describing "Top proposed Exemption' and CVX is modifying application accordingly.</p> <p>10/20/2019 :(MVG) Held meeting (11/18/19) with WB, Inland, HQ and CVX on proposed exempt area. CVX group taken the information for their internal discussion. CVX plan to meet with DOGGR on (12/5/2019) for update at 3 PM.</p> <p>12/13/2019: (MVG) Held meeting with WB to convey operator agreement to the proposed boundary by WB, water well survey revised to reflect 1017 submission and to define the bottom of AE zone .</p> <p>02/5/2020: Waiting on revised application from Chevron.</p>

30	Lynch Canyon - Santa Margarita	0		No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection	For phase-out. Met with operator at SWB 10/25/18. Discussion within WB to develop document (letter) to be signed by Harris and Bishop outlining basis for decision and steps needed for phase out. 6/13/2019 Operator met with DOGGR and WB and received approval on proposed wells to convert from Lanigan to D sands for collection of water sample. 10/17/19 Coastal will be sending a letter requiring the phase out of injection with a reasonable timeline and workplan to acheive compliance. 11/13/19 Letter to terminate injection has been sent to Eagle Petroleum. 02/06/20 A letter to respond to the operator's response to November letter has been drafted and reviewed by SWB. The letter has been submitted to upper management for direction.
31	South Belridge - SE Area	0		No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection	For phase-out. Discussion within WB to develop document (letter) to be signed by Harris and Bishop outlining basis for decision and steps needed for phase out. Meeting with operator on Nov. 8, 2018. DOGGR with the operators are in discussion with CA Fish & Game for CEQA discussions on phase-out and re-piping/facilities plans.

Summary			%
	Already approved by EPA (1-19)	19	66
	Submitted, waiting for Approval by EPA (20)	1	3
	Being prepared for Submission (21-22)	2	7
	On Public Comment (23)	1	3
	Being prepared for Public Comment (24-25)	2	7
	Being reviewed, needing WB Preliminary Concurrence Letter (26-29)	4	14
	Not expecting a C. L.; for phase-out of any existing injection (30-31)	2	0
	Total	29	100

Count	Additional Aquifer Exemptions		Exemption Criteria CFR 146.4	Status as of 8/13, 2019
1	Livermore		(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA-DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures
2	Casmalia		(a), (b)(1)	WB sent comments to District 2/21/19. Proponent and consultant
3	Deer Creek		(a), (b)(1)	District responded to WB questions 1/28/19. Concerns on
4	Round Mountain (South Area)		(a), (b) (1)	Submitted package to WB for review 3/7/19.
5	Northeast Edison			On pause from operator due to other priority. 4/24/2019: (WM)
6	Lost Hills 2Phase 2			
7	Kern Bluff			
8	Blackwell's Corner			AE document (from E&B Natural Resources) not yet received.
9	Mt. Poso (Dorsey Area)			Received AE document 4/2/19 but needed revisions. Sent back 4/11/19. No Change-Waiting for official application.
				5/16/2019 (WM) DOGGR received application from EnviroTech, returned to operator for further edits.
				8/12/2019: (WM) EnviroTech submitted revised app#2 to DOGGR on July 18, 2019. DOGGR almost finished reviewing application.
				Demonstration of hydrocarbons in zones being proposed for exemption & expansion has not yet occurred.
				Working with Operator on App Edits.
				11/01/2019: (CC) District reponses to Jason M. & Bill B. Inquiry. (WTL) establish Operator/DOGGR meeting for Nov 18th to discuss application status and address any issues.
				11/20/2019: (WM) Met with consultant 11/15/19 to communicate the urgency to submit documents required to show hydrocarbon distribution on proposed area and communication between upper and lower sands. Awaiting updated cross sections and documents prior to a follow up meeting with Operator.
				12/04/2019: (WM) Working with consultant on what additional data, figures, graphs, and diagrams are needed to satsify criteria for proposed exemption area. Requested a timeline for delivery of work products from consultant on 12/03/2019. Waiting for reply from consultant on expected timeline of additioanl analysis that is needed.
				1/21/2020:(WM) A key well(API :029-12043 Signal Bishop 22-1) with significant hydrocarbon show located outside the AE boundary was identified by operator and verified by CalGEM. The well now is incorporated with proposed AE boundary. Cameron and the AE team met consultant on 1/10/2020 to discussed urgency in updating new maps, figures, text etc.